

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

Board 1	
No.	Comment
1	Advertising for the workshops was deliberately made to the residents within 200m. to cut off the impact of community involvement in it. All of us, especially, FPP School parents have the right to know
2	What consultation has Council done with stakeholders like Dept Transport etc?
3	To have one session to replay the feedback on a time and day that is limited (until 7pm) on a workday is <u>NOT</u> best practice. [TOC MUST do better in order to claim you have genuinely consulted.]
4	Is it correct that the facilitator is linked to Apil? If yes, why wasn't the "workshop" informed? What about transparency?
5	You are referencing a 'brief' for the town's 'preferred structure plan' ... This is different than confirming the preparation of TOC'S OWN PCP that is consistent with TOC'S planning strategy approved by WAPC.
6	Advertising for the workshops was limited as many community members were unaware.
7	I dont support modifying APIL's plan. It is not consistent with our LPS

Board 2	
No.	Comment
1	900 dwellings at least 2000 people. 900 cars? Traffic will be chaos
2	What is the timeline for the Council PSP?
3	What does 900 additional dwellings look like? What does 1250 additional dwelling's look like?
4	Why just this location. Why don't council consider other parts and lower density (<i>TICK</i>)
5	Why were affected RESIDENTS of the LPS not notified DIRECTLY of the Councils intent to <i>increase (TRIANGLE)</i> the density & infill targets along HORNSEY etc?
6	Will the council P.S.P Be ready in time to be submitted to WAPC <u>before/same</u> time?
7	Shopping centre NEEDS to be developed.
8	Why is the planning of removing the residency/home appearing to be a forgone conclusion?
9	Will other companies/developers be approached to come up with different plans?
10	Please employ consultants to get on with our PSP.
11	900-1250 dwellings <u>overlooking</u> a primary school?
12	Replace/refurbish the current shopping centre and develop the northern end with high-rise - no homes to be removed
13	Why hasn't the council got the precinct plan ready. They have had years - now we won't have it ready in time!
14	What is the density target for the Town of Cambridge? Will this density target be spread over the whole town?
15	ToC - develop your <u>own</u> plan.
16	Is there consideration for more public transit? This increased density will create a considerable increase in traffic.

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

17	Can the ToC's structure plan stick to the planned density and not exceed it?
18	A common sense approach is required. Why not spread the density out to a larger area.
19	You identify the town is "commtted" to preparing its own PSP. BUT we have no evidence of anything beyond these werkshops what is the expected time frame? what deadlines have been agreed to work toward? OR is this rhetoric to keep us quiet while you allow APIL to lead?
20	Spreading density to a larger area destroys my home, who not agreed to by Council in the LPS which who approved by WAPC. To renege an that plan + encroach density to my home is not acceptable in a retrospective action.
21	Council hAs not done what it SAID IT WOULD! FORGET APIL. CAMBRIDGE Council MUST DO ITS OWN PLAN <u>NOW</u> - PROCRASTINATION + EXCUSES NOT GOOD ENOUGH
22	We should be aiming for 900 MAXIMUM this area does not have roads, public transport, infrastructure ??? policy, or parking for 900 new dwellings let alone more!!
23	Council must confirm how proposal is in best interests of impacted residents - not best interests of Developer.
24	900 is too much for one area
25	Council must be transparent on the financial impact on <u>all</u> stakeholders - residents - businesses - developer - council
26	A social impact assesment must be undertaken - survey of <u>impacted</u> residents.
27	spread out the dwellings - not just one area
28	THE LPS REQUIRES TO BE REVIEWED TO CONFIRM DEFINITION OF 'INDICATIVE ACTIVITY CENTRE FRAME'. THE LACK OF DEFINITION ALLOWS FOR POTENTIAL INAPPROPRIATE DEV.
29	Modifying APIL's plan is NOT an option. It will lead to conpromise not good design. APIL have no vision for the community only vision only vision for the pots of gold they are planning to fill

Board 3	
No.	Comment
1	All the pictures TOC have included are deceiving and not indicative of actual proposal.
2	Any increase (TRIANGLE) in public transport needs to protect safety of residential streets. Increasing frequency of buses that travel down 50km residential street like Lissadell St is dangerous and unacceptable
3	The design pictures are very varied and inconsistent. They do not give a clear and accurate representation of the buildings' appearances (architecture and design).
4	Refurbing the shopping centre - yes. 20 storey towers - no. High density living which fits into the look & feel of the community - yes!!
5	Keep library
6	We see many pictures but they don't show the bigger picture of the forum
7	20 story towers do not fit into FLOREAT. Let's be sensible :)
8	Due to the increase of housing costs and population, our children will be push further away from the city, this could change if we established cheap living spaces in areas like Floreat.

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

9	Floreat is a 'sporting precinct' consider enhancing sporting facilities indoor courts to service basketball, volleyball, floorball... The flow on effect to shops etc. Then mixed dwellings... Families need space.. Research shows children's mental health deteriorates in high rise including suicide rates!
10	ToC do <u>own</u> plan
11	Where is the big picture?? Where is the <u>real</u> idea?? These are all concepts that don't give a <u>certain</u> answer to the question: What does your plan <u>look</u> like?
12	These pictures don't show 18-20 storey towers! Please show what this would look like as these pics are not accurate
13	Have multistory carpark underground. Will, if designed properly, regulate temp of building above. Example Cradle 2 Cradele dev of Venlo Council house in Netherlands
14	Safety for primary students accessing school needs priority - traffic volumes will increase, so they need high consideration ->
15	All primary and high school are at capacity. Whats the plan?
16	-> An underpass for foot traffic under the road to access school and sporting precinct.
17	Who will be able to afford these new dwelling? Will they <u>really</u> be suitable for families and children??
18	Library must be central and included in future plans. And FP Primary School
19	What about spreading it out. West of the Forum City Beach
20	Parking! Please ensure that the new development has adequate parking. Take the Scarborough redevelopment for example - parking is a nightmare.
21	Consistent with previous feedback from residents, the Forum should be properly developed to be an integrated, iconic structure.
22	COUNCIL MUST DO ITS OWN PLAN! NO WAY SHOULD WE HAVE ANYTHING TO WITH APIL!
23	Why have we capitulated. We should be fighting the GOVT. To alter its INFILL PLANS. We don't want MULTI STOREY BUILDINGS - AT ALL. Council needs to SHOW more GUTS
24	Perry Lakes development had very late restricted parking.
25	Ensure buildings do <u>not</u> overshadow <u>or</u> overlook school
26	APIL GOING FOR <u>HIGH END</u> YIELD'S GO SMALLER - PLENTY OF LAND AROUND BUILD ON COUNCIL - HAS OCEAN VIEWS :)
27	I am a 70 year old long time resident of Floreat. I <u>do not</u> want to live in an apartment.

Board 4	
No	Comment
1	Where has the shopping centre gone in this concept drawing?
2	Good luck putting an extra 2500 cars on the adjoining single lane, 50 kph streets (: zero planning
3	Transport is one of the major issues!! Since when has a <u>tram</u> in photo been proposed.
4	There must be adequate parking for shoppers and residents
5	Roads are struggling to cope now. I travel to city each day & have seen the growth & congestion points on Boulevard - can't imagine how this development will impact. Horrendous!!

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

6	I don't mind development in the Western Suburbs but the infrastructure doesn't cope. Claremont/Cottesloe is chaos - Marmion & Stirling Hwy. ALL roads crossing railway line are too narrow & don't cope! First INFRASTRUCTURE!!
7	Will current roads-eg Newry St- become "rat runs"? What will be done to stop this happening?
8	<u>Traffic</u> - Cambridge/Blvd will need to be 2 lane, - Parking control needs
9	Build density that can be met by current public transport...not future D'ts to transport made on a promise, never delivered, which leads to our streets unsafe rat runs, crashes & pedestrian injuries+++
10	How about a shuttle service transport plus smart delivery services to avoid people needing to drive to Forum?
11	Completely inadequate public transport to support this intensity of development in this location. Claremont is on a train line
12	Very <u>hard to use Public Transport</u> . It only goes to city - no nth/south, no links to UWA/Hospital without changing buses & v. long. Even trip to city is now very long due to roundabouts & road modifications eg Leederville Link to Claremont only after 9 to 3.
13	Floreat Forum is an important shopping amenity for the district and the shopping options it offers should be retained.
14	Single lane arterial roads (East-West) eg Grantham/Cambridge are not sufficient presently, let alone with this redevelopment
15	The development should reflect the 'garden suburb' setting and respect the amenity of the school and residential streets.
16	Not normal tram but trackless tram - like image to left
17	more busses needed <u>but</u> main roads only (Oceanic & Cambridge). <u>Not increase bus flow on Lissadell. Re-route the 82.</u>
18	Where do all the visitors of 1200 resident park when they have a bbq on Aus Day?
19	current roads will not cope with this level of development. Roads are already congested!
20	I want details how will the traffic be redirected. Hopefully not through quiet residential streets!!
21	Traffic & parking will overflow into neighbouring areas
22	The kids playground facility over Donegal Rd looks over 50 years old and with no maintenance at all. Can this playground be redeveloped with new facilities?
23	<u>Micro Mobility</u> - good bike & e-scooter path needed down Cambridge or Blvd into West Leederville.
24	<u>Public Transport</u> : -Trackless tram is waste of time & money, - 81/2 routes will need tripling in frequency. <u>Traffic</u> : - Newry needs to be closed at Hornsey, - Centre/High Density access must only be from Howtree Av
25	You talk about new forms of micro-mobility, like e-scooters. But where are the pathways that will accommodate for this mode of transport?
26	spruce the shops up - restaurants, decent retail, nice wine bar not tacky sports bar
27	<u>Traffic</u> : - parking control (30p/1p/residents only) from when construction starts onward on Floreat Ave to Lissadell inclusive; block Newry btwn Hornsey & Floreat Ave, - need more lanes on Boulevard & Cambridge St

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

28	The Boulevard & Cambridge are single lane roads. Are we considering dual lanes?
29	<u>Parking</u> : - Permits only west of Linden Gardens, - 2P east from LG to Lissadell, - Chandler W to be closed, FFPS access via
30	Kill the 82, set up better depot at Forum & only 28 (Alderbury), 81 (Oceanic), 83 (Grantham)
31	<u>Walking</u> : Construct tunnels for pedestrians across Howtree (to FFPS & Floreat Oval) & Blvd (to Park) to provide safe pedestrian access.
32	Keep to Forum area - NOT surrounding streets with houses already

Board 5	
No	Comment
1	Maximum height - 6 storeys. Apartments above shopping centre. Shopping centre needs total redevelopment. Parking must be considered. Traffic must be considered. Green space should be high priority.
2	Great to see density staying within LPS framework
3	If housing density targets need to be achieved - why is "existing park" to Cambridge St not developed too - to reduce or spread the impact? It was a garage before!
4	Please consider the visual impact of the bulk
5	Agree 8 storeys <u>max</u> !
6	High-density at the forum is just <u>WRONG</u>
7	Why do the concept drawings assume that the current homes will go? (Newry, Hornsey, Floreat Ave)
8	Tower should not overlook the primary school. (don't ruin the view from playground!!)
9	Need for clear transition from high density to low density. Retain high density (max 8 storey) in Floreat Forum & don't bleed into surrounds.
10	8 storey should be max - <u>agree</u>
11	Docklands development is a good go-by. However no need for more than 6-8 storeys above new mazzanine mall, plenty of room to spread.
12	Exactly! There seems to be little regard for what is best for our young local students with high rise tower proposals!
13	Keep people properly informed as the lack of information causes fear and emails = more work.
14	Is there a problem with taller apartment buildings overlooking primary school? Yes!!
15	Setback of buildings from road to ensure walking/cycling etc. looks close on this plan.
16	To see smart and realistic architecture will let everyone see how exactly all the promises you make to include them into the design (e.g. transport, environment, parking etc).
17	Prefer to see high rise (above 8 storeys) in the middle of the block cascading down to the lower height buildings on the perimeter
18	Agree that towers are fundamentally in appropriate. 6 storeys max given the infrastructure pressures.
19	Two large supermarkets require large unloading bays nearby for big trucks. Any redevelopment plan must include this aspect for the shopping centre and Howtree place.
20	Messaging and the dissemination of information to the community needs to be better.

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

21	Built form is critical to good/future development: Council need to listen to its electorate not to Apil.
22	Will the number of residential apartments be consistent with the Local Planning Strategy?
23	West side higher development only works if the tennis court/park is not further developed into apartments at a later date.
24	I fully support this movement, Floreat is a beautiful suburb and it needs cheaper housing options for lower-income families.
25	Shopping centre needs to be redeveloped!
26	Indicative zone resident. Horrified at 18 storeys - 8 storeys at the very most. Pls- don't r*pe & pilage.
27	do more townhouses like North Perth Highgate etc not a single/2 skyscrapers to go over the density requirements. Have it at least look vaguely integrated not like a skyscraper on St George's Tce plonked in a suburb.
28	West side housing needs to resolve the capacity of Howtree Place first. Not sufficient for the volume of current traffic.
29	How to secure school kids privacies. With so unplanned high density residencies. The traffic for school puck up & drop off time will be a disaster!
30	Go for minimum stories required for min density requirements. Anything else is a blatant cash grab
31	Medium density should not be allowed in Hornsey Rd. Height & bulk need to be lowered near residential area
32	None of te pictures have clear orientation or put into perspective to Howtree pl & FP Primary. The school needs to addressed first, especially the parking for it
33	Six storeys, not eight. Must redevelop existign shopping centre.
34	Floreat has already had a development - Perry Lakes - we don't need another one
35	Apartment living increases rates of depression & suicide in children. Floreat is predominantly families--build for families...build responsibly.
36	Very disappointing. Way too high. The school over the road has been the heart of Floreat - now its disregarded!!
37	Developer must not be able to cash in on accommodation/property development but leave old shopping centre there.
38	<u>Max 2 storeys</u> along indicative - don't want to be <u>overlooked</u>
39	High towers in an existing residential area are <u>not</u> appropriate. 6 storeys max!
40	<u>Use the geography</u> . You can get 2 storeys on Howtree and end up at ground level on Floreat Ave.
41	<u>No</u> creeping out of development into surrounding streets. Agreed!

Board 6	
No	Comment
1	Midcentury, Postwar/deco & Modernist

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

2	It's shameful that a Plan by Town of Cambridge has not been proposed long before now. Why was it not? What have you been doing?? The Council has been completely reactive & not proactive. Council need to put it's residents first!
3	This is inconsistent with the Planning Strategy, which is bad enough
4	How long will this take? What is going to happen with the traffic. What about people in Floreat Ave. Good luck to the school. NOT HAPPY.
5	No to concrete jungle - built form needs to be sympathetic to surrounds. Transition to low rise is key - not high rise <u>gratuitous!</u>
6	Towers will ruin visual amenity and cultural & heritage value of impacted area. Floreat is a garden suburb.
7	Four stories would be more appropriate. It will cause much more traffic in the area. Contrary to the idea of 15 minute cities - people do come to other areas, and what about the extra parking needed. Doesn't appear to be much thought for the homeowners near by - mock ups at the development don't usually match with what gets built.
8	Building materials need to be environmentally friendly. Buildings should blend to existing suburb post-war era building style. NOT concrete office towers
9	What additional community infrastructure is needed to support additional residents.
10	Look like we will lose Forum Shopping Centre - Coles/Woolworths
11	Needs more clarification about the details....we know the devil is in the details!
12	We are living in a very dry climated. So, biophylic design will be difficult. Needing extreme amount water. Need different designs to keep buildings cool & soft design
13	Residents above shops & businesses, what time would be curfew - re noise.
14	Infrastructure requirements need to include: schools, public open space, stormwater drainage.
15	Please <u>save</u> the character of Floreat
16	Is this consistent with the Local Planning Strategy?
17	Where is the parking for all those 1000 residents???

Board 7	
No	Comment
1	Developers/owners should be required to maintain landscaping for a min of 5 years to ensure it establishes
2	Swales in between carparks on surface to collect water.
3	Prioritise creating a canopy - use this as opportunity to improve in this area.
4	Any development must be best practice environmentally and carbon neutral. Must have electric car charging stations & 7 star rating.
5	Light pollution will be significant.
6	Floreat is/was a garden suburb. Leave it alone. Get rid of Labor & developers & the Mayor!
7	No train access nearby - it is the wrong place for high density development
8	What about the homes that get destroyed to reach infill? Mandatory recycling of all materials is an important consideration. At the very least Salvage must be mandated!!
9	Why have a high density development without a train station - wrong concept?!

Floreat Visioning Open Day Session 21 March 2024 – Poster Board Comments

10	My house will probably fall down when these earth works start
11	Grass is good to sit on & cooler than all native/soil!!
12	Recycled water feature (for children)

Board 8	
No	Comment
1	Let's be honest, unless they plant established trees etc it will look like a concrete wasteland. These images are giving a false sense of hope in my opinion. You can't out-tree a 6 storey shopping complex.
2	Is Floreat Park Primary School ready to cope with the high volume of new students after this change? Any plan for this?
3	High rise with big increase in people will impact environment
4	Increased setbacks, pedestrianised pathways
5	Those green images look nice but not achieved in proposed urban environment
6	High rise development maximises the profits of the developer at the expense of residents & good town planning. Please do not destroy our suburbs!!
7	Great to have move away from a 'mall' to street-style shops...however still need a Woolies or Coles, gym, etc. (perhaps these are in the basement beneath?)
8	These photos look nice as was the one of Salvado Rd between Jersey St & Selby St when Mable Pk was being developed. Not a car on the road or parked, times change.
9	Where will the new 1000 residents walk their dogs?? (roof-top gardens??)